

John Locke The Second Treatise
Of Government Of The State Of
Nature Summary

.....
**John Locke The
Second Treatise Of
Government Of
The State Of
Nature Summary**

**Introduction:
Understanding Locke's
Vision of Natural Freedom**

.....

Few works in the history of political philosophy have shaped modern democratic thought as profoundly as John Locke's *Second Treatise of Government*. At the heart of this influential text lies a concept that continues to spark debate among scholars, policymakers, and citizens: the state of nature. When we explore a **John Locke The Second Treatise Of Government Of The State Of Nature Summary**, we are not merely examining an abstract philosophical idea. We are uncovering the foundational assumptions about

human freedom, equality, and the legitimate origins of political authority. Locke's state of nature is not a historical period but a thought experiment—a way of imagining what human life might be like without organized government. This article provides a thorough, chapter-by-chapter look at Locke's argument, clarifying his unique vision of natural liberty and its enduring relevance for modern governance.

The Historical Context of the Second Treatise

Published anonymously in 1689, the *Second Treatise of Government* was written during a turbulent period in English history. The Glorious Revolution had recently overturned the rule of James II, and debates about the rights of subjects versus the power of monarchs were at their peak. Locke wrote in direct opposition to Sir Robert Filmer's *Patriarcha*, which defended the divine right of kings. More subtly, Locke also engaged with the ideas of Thomas Hobbes, whose *Leviathan* (1651) presented a famously bleak picture of the state of nature. Understanding this context is essential for any complete **John Locke The Second Treatise Of Government Of The State Of Nature Summary**, because Locke's arguments were crafted as a deliberate

John Locke The Second Treatise Of Government Of The State Of Nature Summary

alternative to both absolutism and Hobbesian pessimism.

Locke's goal was to justify limited government based on the consent of the governed. To do this, he had to first establish what life would be like in the absence of government at all. His answer was far more optimistic than Hobbes's, and this optimism underpins his entire political philosophy.

Defining the State of Nature: Locke's Core Premise

For Locke, the state of nature is "a state of perfect freedom to order their actions and dispose of their possessions and persons as they think fit, within the bounds of the law of nature, without asking leave or depending upon the will of any other man." This is a crucial starting point for any **John Locke The Second Treatise Of Government Of The State Of Nature Summary** because it immediately distinguishes Locke from Hobbes. Where Hobbes saw the state of nature as a war of all against all, Locke saw a condition of equality and mutual obligation.

Perfect Freedom with Natural Limits

Locke emphasizes that this freedom is not license. Even in the state of nature, human beings are subject to the law of nature, which Locke identifies with reason. This law teaches that “no one ought to harm another in his life, health, liberty, or possessions.” Because all people are equal and independent, no one has the right to destroy another unless it is to punish a transgressor or preserve the innocent. This creates a moral framework that exists even without a government to enforce it.

Equality as a Foundational Principle

Locke grounds his state of nature in the principle of equality. He writes that “creatures of the same species and rank, promiscuously born to all the same advantages of nature and the use of the same faculties, should also be equal one amongst another.” This equality is not about identical abilities or outcomes, but about equal moral standing. No person has natural authority over another unless God has explicitly declared it—which Locke argues has not happened. This rejection of natural hierarchy is a radical break from feudal and monarchical traditions.

John Locke The Second Treatise Of Government Of The State Of Nature Summary The Law of Nature and Its Enforcement

One of the most distinctive features of a **John Locke The Second Treatise Of Government Of The State Of Nature Summary** is the role of the law of nature. Unlike Hobbes, who believed that moral laws are mere conventions without a sovereign to enforce them, Locke insists that the law of nature is binding in the state of nature. But who enforces it?

Right of Self-Preservation

Locke argues that every individual has the right to preserve their own life. This is the most fundamental natural right. From this right flows the authority to punish those who threaten it. In the state of nature, each person is both judge and executioner of the law of nature. This is necessary because without a common authority, there would be no one else to protect the innocent or restrain the guilty.

Right of Punishment

Locke extends this logic to include the right to punish transgressors proportionally. He writes that every person has the power to “retain the

transgression so as to make it repent him to do it, and thereby deter others from doing the like.” This right of punishment is not based on personal vengeance but on the need to preserve humanity as a whole. However, Locke acknowledges that this system is imperfect. People are biased in their own cases, and passions often override reason. This inconvenience—not a state of war—is what ultimately drives people to leave the state of nature.

The State of Nature vs. the State of War

A common confusion in reading Locke is conflating the state of nature with the state of war. A careful **John Locke The Second Treatise Of Government Of The State Of Nature Summary** must clarify this distinction. For Locke, the state of nature is a condition of peace, goodwill, and mutual assistance, governed by reason and the law of nature. The state of war, by contrast, is a condition of enmity, malice, and destruction. It begins when someone declares by word or action a settled intention to harm another.

Locke insists that the two are not the same. People can live in a state of nature without being

John Locke The Second Treatise Of Government Of The State Of

Nature Summary

at war. However, a state of war can break out within the state of nature when someone violates the law of nature and refuses to submit to reasonable judgment. This distinction is vital because it means that leaving the state of nature is not an escape from inevitable violence, but a practical improvement on an already peaceful but inconvenient condition.

Property in the State of Nature

Locke's theory of property is one of the most famous and controversial parts of the *Second Treatise*. In the state of nature, God gave the earth to all humanity in common. Yet for this common gift to be useful, individuals must be able to appropriate parts of it. Locke's solution is the labor theory of property.

Labor as the Foundation of Ownership

Every person owns their own body and the labor of their hands. When a person mixes their labor with something in the common state—by picking fruit, cultivating land, or building a shelter—they remove that thing from the common and make it their own property. This is a natural right that

predates government. Locke writes that labor creates “a distinction between them and common. That added something to them more than nature had made.”

The Limits of Acquisition

Locke places two important limits on property in the state of nature. First, the “sufficiency limitation”: a person may only appropriate as much as leaves enough and as good for others. Second, the “spoilation limitation”: a person may only take as much as they can use before it spoils. These limits ensure that property rights do not become a justification for greed or waste. Locke’s state of nature is not a capitalist free-for-all; it is a moral economy governed by natural law.

The Inconveniences of the State of Nature

Despite its relative peace, the state of nature has major drawbacks. Locke identifies three primary inconveniences that make it rational for people to form a civil society.

- **Lack of an established, settled, known law:** The law of nature is written in every

John Locke The Second Treatise Of Government Of The State Of

Nature Summary

person's heart, but it is not always clear or universally interpreted. People often disagree about what the law requires.

- **Lack of a known and impartial judge:** Because everyone is biased in their own case, there is no neutral authority to settle disputes fairly. Self-interest and passion cloud judgment.
- **Lack of power to enforce the law:** Even when a correct judgment is made, there is no reliable mechanism to execute it. Wrongdoers may resist punishment, leading to cycles of revenge and escalation.

These inconveniences, not the fear of violent death as Hobbes suggested, are what motivate people to leave the state of nature. They seek the protection of a common, established legal system.

The Transition to Civil Society

When individuals consent to form a political community, they give up their personal executive power—the right to judge and punish—to the community as a whole. This is the social contract. However, Locke is careful to note that they do not give up all their natural rights. The rights to life,

liberty, and property are retained and must be protected by the government. If the government violates these rights, the people have the right to resist and even overthrow it.

This leads to Locke's famous justification for revolution. In a **John Locke The Second Treatise Of Government Of The State Of Nature Summary**, it is important to emphasize that the government is not the master of the people but their servant. It holds a fiduciary trust to act for the public good. When it betrays that trust, it dissolves itself and the people may establish a new government.

Comparing Locke and Hobbes on the State of Nature

No **John Locke The Second Treatise Of Government Of The State Of Nature Summary** would be complete without contrasting Locke's view with that of Thomas Hobbes. For Hobbes, the state of nature is a condition of constant fear and conflict. Life is "solitary, poor, nasty, brutish, and short." There is no industry, no culture, no society—only a war of every person against every person. Hobbes's

John Locke The Second Treatise Of Government Of The State Of

Nature Summary
solution is an absolute sovereign with nearly unlimited power.

Locke rejects this completely. He argues that the state of nature is governed by reason and moral law. It is not a war, but it is inconvenient. The solution is not an absolute monarch but a limited government based on consent, with separated powers and the protection of natural rights. Locke's state of nature is thus both more optimistic and more subtle than Hobbes's, and it leads to a fundamentally different theory of legitimate government.

Contemporary Relevance of Locke's State of Nature

Locke's ideas continue to resonate in modern political discourse. The United States Declaration of Independence echoes Locke almost verbatim in its assertion of natural rights to "life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness." International human rights frameworks are deeply indebted to Locke's vision of inherent human dignity. Debates about the proper limits of government power, the right to self-defense, and the nature of property rights all trace back to Locke's thought.

Critics, however, have pointed out problems with

Locke's state of nature. It assumes a level of rationality and moral awareness that may not be universal. It also implicitly assumes a world of abundant resources, which does not reflect modern ecological realities. Moreover, Locke's theory of property has been used to justify colonialism and the dispossession of indigenous peoples. A balanced reading of the *Second Treatise* must acknowledge both its profound contributions and its limitations.

Conclusion: The Enduring Power of Locke's Vision

In this **John Locke The Second Treatise Of Government Of The State Of Nature Summary**, we have seen how Locke constructs a vision of human life as fundamentally free, equal, and governed by moral law. The state of nature is not a nightmare of chaos but a condition of imperfect peace, where people enjoy natural rights but lack the security of an impartial legal system. The move to civil society is a rational choice designed to protect those rights, not to surrender them. Locke's state of nature remains one of the most influential thought experiments in political philosophy. It challenges us to ask what we would accept as legitimate authority and what rights we would never give up. By

John Locke The Second Treatise Of Government Of The State Of

Nature Summary

understanding Locke's starting point, we gain a clearer picture of the foundations of modern democracy and the enduring tension between individual freedom and collective governance.